SINGLE BENCH

(1) 03

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.1549/2005

PETITIONER

Kanhaiyalal Regar, aged about 36 years, son of Shri Bhkharam, C.I.S.F. Unit, B.S.P., Bhilai Sector III, Bhilai, Distt. Durg (Chhattisgarh)

P. 1748/05
Presented by Shri Regar
dated 20/04/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The Union of India, through – Its Secretary, Department of Home Affairs, New Delhi.

2. The Assistant Commandant / A.D.M., C.I.S.F. Unit, B.S.P., Bhilai, Distt. Durg (Chhattisgarh)

R/C
20/4/05
THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of Ind
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
...h Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, 1950 FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS ETC. AND FOR APPROPRIATE ORDER / ORDERS, DIRECTION / DIRECTIONS.

सपथ आयुक्त
20/4/05

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

35

मामला क्रमांक WP 1549/05 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

25.4.2005

Mr. T.K. Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. R. Pradhan, Standing Counsel for Union of India / respondents.

Heard.

The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226/227 being aggrieved by order dated 6.4.2005 (Annexure P-1) whereby the petitioner has been transferred from C.I.S.F Unit, Bhilai to C.I.S.F. Unit, Barwaha, Madhya Pradesh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that wife of the petitioner is suffering from spondylities vertigo problem and taking regular treatment at BSP Hospital. Counsel further submits that his two children are studying in Class 7th & 4th respectively at Central School, Bhilai and the place where the petitioner has been transferred neither the Central School nor the proper hospital facility is available. Counsel further submits that the petitioner has made a representation to respondent No.2 for considering his case but till date respondent No.2 has not decided the same, therefore, respondent No.2 be directed to consider the case of the petitioner sympathetically, to which counsel for the respondents have no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to facts and circumstances of the case, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner already submitted to him within a period of month from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in accordance with law and in case the representation is not available then the petitioner is at liberty to make another representation along with

(पीछे देखिये)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

copy of this order to respondent No.2 and in turn respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of one month from the date of receipt of representation after considering all the points raised by the petitioner in accordance with law. It is directed that the petitioner shall not be relieved till the representation is decided, if already not relieved.

With the direction aforesaid, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P. No.1208/05 & I.A. Nos.3017/05 & 3018/05also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge